UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,592	10/17/2005	Brent K. Hyde	L3440-319122	7458
23370 7590 04/25/2007 JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			EXAMINER	
			ROSE, ROBERT A	
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/25/2007		. 04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/553,592	HYDE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Rose	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	D DEDLY IS SET TO EXPIDE 2 A	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAVS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 17 April 2007.	•			
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		,			
4) Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,9,13-15,18-22,25,26,30</u>	0-32 and 35-37 is/are pending in the	he application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) 4,13,15,18-22,25,30-32 and	<u>35</u> is/are allowed.	1			
6) Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,8,9,14,26 and 36</u> is/are	rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objecti		-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and the second s				
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.				
·	ocuments have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International					
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	t received.			
	·	•			
attachment(s)	·	•			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/553,592

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Amendment, filed April 17, 2007.

- 2. Claims 1-2, 7, 10-12, 16-17, 23-24, 27-29, 33-34, and 38-45 have been canceled.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5-6, 8, 14, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert in view of McCubbin. Gilbert discloses a tool honing guide and bevel setting jig for honing a tool comprising substantially all of the subject matter set forth in Applicant's claims above, except for the recitation of the tool being secured in the guide by drawing a tool securing bar toward the guide reference surface. Note guide(22b) comprising tool holder(20b,41b) and roller(30a); and jig(60a) for removable coupling to the guide to set the tool at selective sharpening angles. McCubbin discloses a tool honing guide having a tool secured in a guide by drawing a tool securing bar against the guide reference surface, and an adjustable stop to establish the extent of projection of the tool from the guide. To modify the tool in Gilbert by providing a draw bar for pulling the tool toward the guide reference surface, would have been obvious in view of McCubbin. With regard to claim 8, to further provide an adjustable stop on the jig to establish the projection distance of the tool for sharpening at the proper angle, would have been obvious in view of McCubbin.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,592

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 9, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert in view of McCubbin and further in view of McLean. McLean discloses a honing guide for sharpening cutting tools at selectively adjustable sharpening angles, having means in the form of an eccentrically mounted roller and spring biased height adjustment means located on the roller axle, to selectively adjust the height of the roller axle and hence the sharpening angle of the tool holder. To provide such means for adjusting the angle of the tool holder in the device of Gilbert, to automatically present the tool holder at a predetermined angle for sharpening, and avoid errors inherent in

Page 3

6. Claim 37 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

manual adjustment to the proper angle, would have been obvious in view of McLean.

- 7. Claims 4, 13, 15, 18-22, 25, 30-32, and 35 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Upon review of the prior art of record, Applicant's new limitation in independent claim 3, of the tool being secured in the tool guide by a draw bar, and in claim 8 of a repositionable stop, are deemed to be obvious features in view of McCubbin. With regard to claim 9, McLean is deemed to teach the use of an eccentrically mounted roller and spring biased height adjustment means located on the roller axle, to selectively adjust the height of the roller axle and hence the sharpening angle of the tool holder. To provide such means for adjusting the angle of the tool holder in the device of Gilbert, to automatically present the tool holder at a

Application/Control Number: 10/553,592

Art Unit: 3723

predetermined angle for sharpening, and avoid errors inherent in manual adjustment to

Page 4

the proper angle, would have been obvious in view of McLean.

9. In view of the new grounds of rejection not necessitated by Applicant's response,

this action is not made final.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on

alternate Fridays, from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Rose Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3723

Rr

April 23, 2007.